



DFW
AP

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
GROUP 2875
PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q80067

Jee-hong MIN, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/824,648

Group Art Unit: 2875

Confirmation No.: 7696

Examiner: DZIERZYNSKI, EVAN P.

Filed: April 15, 2004

For: LIGHT GUIDE PANEL WITH OPTICAL DEFLECTOR AND EDGE-LIGHT TYPE
BACKLIGHT SYSTEM

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 13, 2006, please consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-19 are all the claims pending in the application. Claims 15-19 are allowed.
Claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kraft (U.S.
Publication No. 2003/0147259) in view of Umemoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,616,289).

In the March 1, 2006 Response, Applicants first argued that Kraft teaches away from the present invention based on paragraph 0038 of Kraft which states: "Light flux enters the tapered light guide area from fiber optics or a light pipe and as such is highly organized as a flux rather